Message

From: Dawson, Jeffrey [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=B7281288675C408D9667266072F0AE21-JEFFREY DAWSON]

Sent: 10/13/2021 11:37:33 AM

To: Messina, Edward [Messina.Edward@epa.gov]; Nesci, Kimberly [Nesci.Kimberly@epa.gov]

Subject: FW: Follow up on our technical discussion

Attachments: EPA Technical Discussion Final.pdf

Ed,

Here is the presentation from when Kimberly and I met with them a couple of weeks ago. Its interesting.

Jeff

Jeffrey L Dawson Science Advisor U.S. EPA, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention 1200 Pennsylvania Ave NW (7101M) Washington, DC. 20004 703-305-7329

Email: dawson.jeff@epa.gov

Deliveries: 1201 Constitution Ave NW, Washington, DC 20004

From: Prakash Iyer Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Sent: Tuesday, October 12, 2021 7:59 PM

To: Dawson, Jeffrey <Dawson.Jeff@epa.gov>; Nesci, Kimberly <Nesci.Kimberly@epa.gov>

Subject: Follow up on our technical discussion

Jeff and Kim,

I wanted to thank you for your time a couple of weeks ago, for your time and the discussion.

Following up on the same, I am attaching a copy of the presentation.

I did want to follow up on Jeff's question on an inhalation model for the Anvil 10+10 study. While during the discussion, we had indicated that all personnel handling Anvil 10+10 had to be trained, certified and handle the product with appropriate PPE, I wanted to bring your attention to slide 25 of the deck, wherein we did talk about a breathing zone concentration when Anvil is applied as a fog. I am including the table below for reference. Further to the discussion we had on that point, Anvil 10+10 is a non-volatile product and there is no risk to the truck driver or the person handling the product (such as diluting or transferring product) from inhalation of product as there is no volatilization of product.

Predicted Air Concentrations in Breathing Zone over 1 Hour – Aerial Spraying

Compound Perfluorationale Acid	Abbrev. PEga	Predicted Concentration in Breathing Zone after one Application (reg/m²) 1.05E-11	Human Health Air Screening Value (mg/m²)		Number of Applications to React Screening Value
			1.0E-02	TOTO REFL - Air Noncarceogenic	953 million
Perfusiosecurous Asid	PFOA	7,335-13	5.56-05	TOEG RBEL - Air (Noncarcinogenic)	75 millon
Perfluente places. Ace	PFrepA	783E-43	No value	No Value	NA
Perhandreplanesulforic Acid	PFHpS	2,026.12	No Value	No Value	N/A
Perfect de caroc Acid	PFHA	193E-12	No value	No Value	N/A
Perfuoratie vanesultonic Acid	PFHAS	2.68E-13	1.4E-05	TCEO RSEL - Air (Moncarcinogenic)	16 million
Perfection accounts Acco	PFNA	739E-13	2.96-05	TOEGREEL AN INVOLVENOUS	40 milion
Perfluoroccianoic Acid	PFOA	3,77E-13	5.08-05 5.08-06	TCEO ESL - Short term TCEO ESL - Long term	133 m 88 pm 13 m 88 pm
Perfect out and sections for the	PF (18)	707E 12		TOEGES Constitute TOEGES Constitute	48 miles
Perhupropertanose Acid	PFPeA	4.346-12	No Value	No Value	NA
Nethor conservous Australia	PFLINA	2.7(E-12	No Value	No Value	NA
3.2 Fluoroteianer sulfanic acid	6:2 FTS	4.838-13	No Vatue	No Value	N/A
#PODA	HFPOOA	7.33E-13	No Value	Novana	NA
Fotal PFAS	Total PFAS	2.97€-11	2.06-01 2.06-02	TCEG ESt Snort term TCEG ESt Land term	7 tillion 673 millen

I would be interested in having a follow up call to further understand/discuss the type of inhalation modeling you were referring to during our discussion. You had mentioned that EPA already had standard models to assess this, and it would be useful if you could share some information on the same.

Best regards Prakash